UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,891	03/31/2004	Maurice Arthur Trewhella	GRH0105PUSA	7582
22045 BROOKS KUS	7590 10/28/200 HMAN P.C.	8	EXAM	IINER
1000 TOWN CENTER TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR			HA, JULIE	
SOUTHFIELD,	= = =		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/814,891	TREWHELLA ET	AL.			
interview dummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JULIE HA	1654				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>JULIE HA</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>JUNQI HANG</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 21 October 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	t)∏ applicant's representative	·]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Kumar et al.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicant will amend clair record, and file arguments and support.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE, or attached sheet.	m 1 to distinguish from the members which the examiner agropy of the amendments that where the state of the s	reed would render ould render the second render	er the claims claims  OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO			
/Anish Gupta/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654						